



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/726,087

12/02/2003

Young-Ky Kim

678-245 CON

3399

66547

7590

03/28/2008

THE FARRELL LAW FIRM, P.C.

333 EARLE OVINGTON BOULEVARD

SUITE 701

UNIONDALE, NY 11553

EXAMINER

LIM, STEVEN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2617

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/28/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/726,087	Applicant(s) KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner STEVEN LIM	Art Unit 2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) STEVEN LIM. (3)_____.

(2) Michael Musella. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 19 March 2008.

Type: a)☒ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference
c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes e)☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-30.

Identification of prior art discussed: Odenwalder et al. and Rikkinen et al..

Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)☒ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 9, 17, 18, 22 were rejected under Obvious Double Patenting which has been overcome by a Terminal Disclaimer. These claims and their dependent claims (Claims 9, 17, 18, 19, 22, and 30) are objected to as being allowable but being dependent on a rejected base claim. Applicant will file response to final rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Steven Lim/
Examiner, Art Unit 2617

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required